

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/800,838	03/15/2004	Angelo Yializis	D-94039A1a (principal cou 1522		
7590 11/01/2004			EXAMINER		
Lawrence R. Oremland, P.C. Suite C-214 5055 E. Broadway Boulevard			NAKARANI, DHIRAJLAL S		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Tucson, AZ 85711			1773		
		-	DATE MAILED: 11/01/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

5. Patent and Trademark Office FOL-326 (Rev. 1-04)	Office Action Summary	Pa	rt of Paper No./Mail Date 2	0040928
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	or PTO/SB/08)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da	tte atent Application (PTO-152	?)
Attachment(s) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)) Interview Summary	(PTO-413)	
Attachmont/e\				
* See the attached detailed Office ac	tion for a list of the certific	ed copies not receive	ed.	
application from the Internation	tional Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).		<i>-</i>
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies				ge
1. Certified copies of the priori2. Certified copies of the priori			on No	
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priori		raccived		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim		er 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)	-(d) or (f).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
				·
11) The oath or declaration is objected				
Applicant may not request that any ob Replacement drawing sheet(s) includi		-	` '	121/4\
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/al				
9) The specification is objected to by		7		
Application Papers				
Application Page				
8) Claim(s) are subject to rest		quirement.		
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-66</u> is/are rejected.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	s/are withdrawn from con	sideration.		
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>57-66</u> is/are pending in t				
Disposition of Claims				
	The second secon	,,		
closed in accordance with the pra				J110 10
3) Since this application is in condition	•		osecution as to the me	orite ie
1) Responsive to communication(s)2a) This action is FINAL.	filed on <u>16 March 2004</u> . 2b)⊠ This action is no	n-final		
	filed on 16 March 2004			
earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b)		•		
Failure to reply within the set or extended period for re Any reply received by the Office later than three months.	ply will, by statute, cause the applic	ation to become ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	umcauun.
If the period for reply specified above is less than thirt If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum	y (30) days, a reply within the statut	ory minimum of thirty (30) day	s will be considered timely.	unication
Extensions of time may be available under the provisi after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this co	ons of 37 CFR 1,136(a). In no ever	nt, however, may a reply be tir	nely filed	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMU		EXPIRE 3 MONTH	(S) FROM	
Period for Reply	amounten appears on the	oovo, shoot wan the c	on espondence duare	33
The MAILING DATE of this comm	D. S. Naka		1773	
Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
	10/800,83	3	YIALIZIS, ANGELO	
	40/000 00	_		

Application/Control Number: 10/800,838

Art Unit: 1773

DETAILED ACTION

1. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 2. Claims 57-61 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter, which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention. The specification as originally filed fail to provide support for the limitation "the second surface of the inorganic layer is a plasma treated surface" in claim 57, line 9.
- 3. The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignces. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

4. Claims 57-66 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-17 of U.S. Patent No. 6,706,412 B2.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,838

Art Unit: 1773

Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because the invention as claimed in the instant application is encompassed by claims of U.S. Patent 6,706,412 B2. And also inclusive of additional layer not claimed in the instant application but claimed in U.S. Patent 6,706,412 B2.

- 5. Claims are deemed allowable over closest prior art Shaw et al (U.S. Patent 6,231,939 B1), while Shaw et al teach treating substrate with plasma but do not teach or suggest plasma treating surface of the radiation polymerized layer prior to depositing claimed inorganic layer.
- 6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Examiner D. S. Nakarani whose telephone number is (571) 272-1512. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7 a.m. to 5:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Deborah Jones can be reached on (571) 272-1535. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications
may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished
applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Application/Control Number: 10/800,838

Art Unit: 1773

Page 4

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

D. S. NAKARANI PRIMARY EXAMINER

D.S. Nakarani/dh October 25, 2004